# 2004 Current Fiscal Year Report: Proposal Review Panel for Experimental and Integrative Activities

Report Run Date: 06/06/2019 03:27:29 AM

4. Is this New During Fiscal 5. Current

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

National Science Foundation 2004

3b. GSA Committee

No.

Proposal Review Panel for Experimental and Integrative

**Activities** 

6. Expected Renewal 7. Expected Term

1193

Commond EV

NI --- ( EV/

Year? Charter Date Date

No 07/01/2002 07/01/2004 07/01/2004

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific Termination 8c. Actual Term

FiscalYear? Authority Date

Yes 02/27/2004

9. Agency Recommendation for Next10a. Legislation Req to 10b. Legislation

FiscalYear Terminate? Pending?

Continue No

**11. Establishment Authority** Agency Authority

12. Specific Establishment 13. Effective 14. Commitee 14c.

Authority Date Type Presidential?

ADM IV-100 10/01/1990 Continuing No

**15. Description of Committee** Grant Review Committee

**16a. Total Number of** No Reports for this

**Reports** FiscalYear

17a. Open 0 17b. Closed 2 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 2 Meetings and Dates

 Purpose
 Start
 End

 Proposal Review
 11/13/2003
 - 11/14/2003

 Proposal Review
 02/04/2004
 - 02/05/2004

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 2

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$28,400.00	\$28,400.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$3,705.00	\$3,705.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$12,396.00	\$12,396.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00

18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants\$0.00\$0.0018c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)\$99.00\$99.0018d. Total\$44,600.00 \$44,600.0019. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)0.050.05

### 20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

Some proposals are evaluated in multiple stages consisting of preliminary NSF staff screening, initial panel review and recommendation, site visits, final panel review, and NSF staff award recommendations. At each state of the review process, the project or institutional activities designed to increase participation by underrepresented groups in CISE-research is examined. Although documentation of the decision process is performed, cases involving potential conflicts of interest are handled very carefully, using external program directors to handle reviews, attend site visits, and make final decisions. Steps are taken to ensure that good communication between the site visit committee and the final panel are adhered to ensure that the decision process is not only thorough, but comprehensive as well. Other proposals are reviewed by a special panel. Proposals are sent in advance to panelists for preliminary reviews. The panel then convenes to arrive at a consensus. During the panel review process, proposals are divided into three categories: must fund, fund if possible, and do not fund. Program managers are given the latitude to make independent judgements, such as deciding to fund those in a particular category or to fund some proposals in full or reduced funding. A brief description of each funded proposal is prepared by the program manager, explaining why the proposal was funded or not funded.

#### 20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

Among its members, the committee includes minorities, women, and persons with disabilities. Although each member has a broad computer science/computer engineering community, each represents a somewhat different point of view; thus allowing for differing deliberation views.

#### 20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

This year, EIA was only able to conduct 1 panel review due to the CISE reorganization. As result, EIA was one of the division that was abolished. The programs that resided in EIA were divided among the CISE directorate.

## 20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The Division of Experimental and Integrative Activities impacts all of the other Divisions in the CISE Directorate as well as other NSF organizations, such as the Directorate for Education and Human Resources and the Office of Integrative Activities. Proposals address educational activities, including but not limited to, the development of courses, instructional technologies, software, and other educational materials. In addition, there is a significant amount of cost-sharing involved. In the Infrastructure area, support for the purchase of special-purpose equipment for research in all fields of computer and information science and engineering is necessary. Again, significant cost sharing is involved. In the Special Projects area, there is a need for support for activities to expand opportunities for women, minorities, and persons with disabilities in computer and information science and engineering. Support is also provided for special workshops, symposia, and analytical studies of interest to the CISE Directorate. Since the Directorate, as a whole, is multidisciplinary, there are no other sources in which advice and/or information may be obtained.

## 20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

To review proposals that included information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals.

#### 21. Remarks

#### **Designated Federal Officer**

#### Gregory R. Andrews Division Director

5 ,				
Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Barnes, Julie	10/01/2003	09/30/2004	Bowling Green State University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Becker, Shirley	10/01/2003	09/30/2004	Northern Arizona University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Burge, Legand	10/01/2003	09/30/2004	Howard University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Carver, Doris	10/01/2003	09/30/2004	Louisiana State University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Chappell, Glen	10/01/2003	09/30/2004	University of Alaska	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Concepcion, Arturo	10/01/2003	09/30/2004	California State University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Dickerson, Julie	10/01/2003	09/30/2004	Iowa State University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
English, William	10/01/2003	09/30/2004	Navy Sea System Command	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Fleury, Ann	10/01/2003	09/30/2004	Aurora University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Forbes, Jeffrey	10/01/2003	09/30/2004	Duke University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Gilbert, Juan	10/01/2003	09/30/2004	Auburn University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Hill, Curtis	10/01/2003	09/30/2004	Valley City State University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Komlodi, Anita	10/01/2003	09/30/2004	University of Maryland	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Kumar, Amruth	10/01/2003	09/30/2004	Ramapo College of New Jersey	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Meeker, Paige	10/01/2003	09/30/2004	Furman University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Prey, Jane Chu	10/01/2003	09/30/2004	Microsoft Corporation	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Raymer, Michael	10/01/2003	09/30/2004	Wright State University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Sanders, Dean	10/01/2003	09/30/2004	Northwest Missouri State University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Scarlatos, Lori	10/01/2003	09/30/2004	CUNY Brooklyn College	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Sethi, Ishwar	10/01/2003	09/30/2004	Oakland University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Starkey, Denbigh	10/01/2003	09/30/2004	Montana State University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Stinson, Michael	10/01/2003	09/30/2004	Central Michigan University	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Strong, Diane	10/01/2003	09/30/2004	Worcester Polytechnic Institute	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

## **Number of Committee Members Listed: 24**

NA

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?			
	Checked if Applies		
Improvements to health or safety			
Trust in government	✓		
Major policy changes			
Advance in scientific research	✓		
Effective grant making	✓		
Improved service delivery	✓		
Increased customer satisfaction	✓		
Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements			
Other			
Outcome Comments NA			
What are the cost savings associated with this committee?	,		
	Checked if Applies		
None			
Unable to Determine	✓		
Under \$100,000			
\$100,000 - \$500,000			
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000			
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000			
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000			
Over \$10,000,000			
Cost Savings Other			
Cost Savings Comments			
NA			

What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

## **Number of Recommendations Comments**

I have used the number of proposals reviewed by these committees

What is the approximate $\underline{\text{Percentage}}$ of these recommendations that have been or will be $\underline{\text{Fully}}$ implemented by the agency? $0\%$
% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments  The committee works constitutes recommendations for awards. These are taken into consideration together with other factors independent of the committee
What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?  0%
% of Recommendations <u>Partially</u> Implemented Comments NA
Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?  Yes No Not Applicable
Agency Feedback Comments NA
What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?
Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities
Reallocated resources
Issued new regulation
Proposed legislation
Approved grants or other payments  Other

## **Action Comments**

NA

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?	
Yes	
What is the estimated <b>Number</b> of grants reviewed for approval	2
What is the estimated <b>Number</b> of grants recommended for approval	0
What is the estimated <b>Dollar Value</b> of grants recommended for approval	\$0
Grant Review Comments NA	
How is access provided to the information for the Committee's document	ation?
Checke	ed if Applies
	od ii 7 ippiioo
Contact DFO	
Contact DFO Online Agency Web Site	7
Online Agency Web Site	
Online Agency Web Site Online Committee Web Site	
Online Agency Web Site Online Committee Web Site Online GSA FACA Web Site	<b>×</b>
Online Agency Web Site Online Committee Web Site Online GSA FACA Web Site Publications	<b>×</b>